# APPENDIX I - Preparation Guidelines for Permit Engineering Evaluation Report

# **Table of Contents**

APPENDIX I - Preparation Guidelines for Permit Engineering Evaluation Report	I-3
ARTICLE 1 - Overview	I-3
The Encroachment Permit Application Review Form	I-3
The Permit Engineering Evaluation Report	
Special Funded Project if Cost is Over \$1,000,000	
Projects Not Requiring a PEER.	
Purpose of a PEER	
Report Format	
ARTICLE 2 - Guidelines for Completing the PEER Form	

# APPENDIX I - Preparation Guidelines for Permit Engineering Evaluation Report

# **ARTICLE 1 - Overview**

# The Encroachment Permit Application Review Form

Refer to Chapter 9, Article 13, for the Permit Engineering Evaluation Report (PEER) process. The Encroachment Permit Application Review form is used by the district permits unit for transmitting encroachment permit proposals that cost up to \$1,000,000 within state right of way to other Caltrans units for review. The reviewing units must fully detail their comments about the proposal and their number of review hours. The responsible unit as determined by the District Permits Engineer is designated on this form. The responsible unit must determine whether a PEER is required, and if so, attach it or indicate the estimated completion date. If the unit determines that there will be no adverse impact on highway operations, maintenance, and tort liability, it will indicate so in the appropriate box shown on the Encroachment Permit Application Review form with the signature by at least a senior level person. The unit will then do its usual permit review, fill out the rest of the form, and return it to the District Permit Engineer. If there will be impacts, a PEER is required and the unit will be responsible for the preparation and review and securing the approval of the PEER. Some projects costing over \$300,000 may be required to utilize the Combined PSR/PR format as a project report if they are too complex to utilize the PEER format.

# The Permit Engineering Evaluation Report

A Project Report (PR) or a PEER is required for every action that has a permanent traffic impact and for work that affects the operating capability of a state highway facility. These reports, and their preparation, are the responsibility of either Project Development or Traffic Operations. However, the District Permit Unit must verify that responsible and reviewing units have considered the need for the appropriate report and have correctly completed the Encroachment Permit Application Review form.

# Special Funded Project if Cost is Over \$1,000,000

The District Permit Engineer determines the magnitude of the work. An encroachment or public transit project that costs more than \$1,000,000 and is located within state right of way is considered a special funded project and will require a Combined PSR/PR if it qualifies, or a PSR and a PR if it does not. The Combined PSR/PR process is described in Chapter 9, Article 12, and in Appendix A.

# **Projects Not Requiring a PEER**

Projects not requiring a PEER usually are for commercial filming, miscellaneous activities, special events, surveys, and utilities.

## Purpose of a PEER

A PEER is prepared to document the engineering analysis of proposed work. The analysis includes review of the proposed improvements to determine drainage, maintenance, operation, and environmental impact on the state highway system. Proposed improvements must conform to Caltrans' current design standards and practices or be justified by an approved design exception. Additional information may be requested from the applicant if it is needed to perform the reviews. A permit may be denied based upon conclusions of the reviews.

# **Report Format**

The PEER should be prepared and submitted using the form shown in the following pages (form number TR-0112). The following article provides guidelines for specific items on the form.

# ARTICLE 2 - Guidelines for Completing the PEER Form

# **Hours for Preparing**

Give the total hours used in investigating and preparing the PEER by all parties. PEER preparation is considered part of the permit review process. The time needed to evaluate and finalize the PEER will depend on the scope and complexity of the work. When it can be done within the review deadline, the PEER should be attached to the review form and returned to the Permit Engineer,. When more time is needed, the review form should be returned immediately to the Permit Engineer, notifying of the estimated date of PEER completion and whether nor not additional information is needed.

#### Permit Number

Permit number assigned to permit application by District Permit Office (if appropriate)

#### Date

Date of completion of the PEER

#### District / County / Route/Kilometer Post (Post Mile) [Dist-Co-Rte-KP(PM)]

The Kilometer Post should be given to the nearest 0.1 kilometer; if the project is 0.2 kilometers or more in length, give both the beginning and ending Kilometer Post. Post Mile should follow Kilometer Post if needed for continuity of file references or other reasons.

#### EA Used

The Expenditure Authorization (EA) used to charge costs for the permit review process as spelled out in Chapter 2 of the *Encroachment Permits Manual*.

# **Applicant**

Name of individual, agency or organization submitting permit proposal.

# 1. Describe Permit Proposal, What It Serves, Approximate Cost

Provide a brief narrative containing statements that are concise but include the information needed to describe the proposed work.

#### 2. <u>Describe Existing Highway - Brief Analysis of Impact on Highway</u> Operation and Maintenance

Evaluate the impacts of the permit proposal upon the State highway.

#### 3. Analysis of Permit Proposal for Geometric and Functional Adequacy

Summarize the findings of the determination of the geometric and functional adequacy of the permit proposal. All statements should be concise and contain the information needed to justify (or reject) the proposed work.

#### 3a. Non-Standard Design Features

Check "Yes" or "No" indicating whether nonstandard design features are involved and if they are provide the rationale for approval of an exception. If yes, give name and date of approval of the PD Coordinator who approved the Fact Sheet for Exception to Mandatory Design Standards. If FHWA concurrence in the Fact Sheet is needed, obtain this on a separate sheet and attach it.

#### 4. Revision in Access Control or Transfer of R/W to Permittee Involved

Check Yes or No.

#### 4a. If Yes, Date of District Director Approval

If the permit proposal involves a reduction in access control or the transfer of Caltrans right of way to the permittee, a request must first be made to the District Director (DD) for authorization to decertify and dispose of the property rights involved. See Chapter 26 "Disposal of Rights of Way" for processing instructions. Indicate the date the DD approved the revision.

#### 4b. If Interstate, Date of FHWA Approval

If FHWA concurrence is needed for a change in access on the Interstate system, give the date of approval.

## 5. Signalization Involved

Check YES or NO. If the answer is "yes", answer the next four questions by checking YES, NO or NOT APPLICABLE.

If the answer to any of the four questions is "no", provide an explanation and any comments on an attached sheet.

#### Permit Proposal Recommended

Check either "Yes, as submitted", "Yes, with conditions described above", or "No, as described above". List conditions in Item 3. Indicate reasons for "No, as described above" in Item 3.

## <u>Prepared by</u> <u>Title</u>

- Name of individual who prepared this report and who should be contacted regarding the proposal.
- Title of individual preparing the PEER.

# Registered Engineer Stamp

The PEER must be prepared by a Caltrans registered civil engineer. The stamp or seal and signature and date must be placed on the report, in the space provided for the engineer in responsible charge of the evaluation.

#### Unit

The unit source code of the registered engineer in responsible charge of the evaluation of the proposal.

#### Approved by Title Date Approved

- Signature of the District Director or the District Division Chief to whom approval authority has been delegated.
- Title of individual approving the PEER.
- Date approved.

PERIVITI ENGINEERING EVAL	UATION REPOR	HOURS FOR PREPARIN	IG PERMIT NO.
TR-0112 (REV. 8/94)		DATE	DIST / CO / RTE / PM
		EA USED	APPLICANT
1. DESCRIBE PERMIT PROPOSAL, WHAT IT	SERVES, APPROXIMAT	E COST.	
i. Besting i Erimin i Hor Corte, William			
,			
2. DESCRIBE EXISTING HIGHWAY - BRIEF	ANALYSIS OF IMPACT O	N HIGHWAY OPERATION, A	IND MAINTENANCE.
3. ANALYSIS OF PERMIT PROPOSAL FOR (	SEOMETRIC AND FUNCT	TIONAL ADEQUACY.	
3a. NON - STANDARD DESIGN FEATURES ?	,		YES   NO
		ent reviewer's concurrence. ( (	YES NO On Federal Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence)
If YES above, provide rationale, name an	d date of Project Developm		
If YES above, provide rationale, name an	d date of Project Developm		on Federal Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence)
If YES above, provide rationale, name an  4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TRA  4a. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A	d date of Project Developm ANSFER OF R/W TO PER		on Federal Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence)
If YES above, provide rationale, name an  4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TRA  4a. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A  4b. IF INTERSTATE, DATE OF FHWA APPRO	d date of Project Developm ANSFER OF R/W TO PER APPROVAL.		on Federal Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence )  YES  NO
If YES above, provide rationale, name an  4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TRA  4a. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A  4b. IF INTERSTATE, DATE OF FHWA APPRO  5. SIGNALIZATION INVOLVED?	ANSFER OF RW TO PER APPROVAL.  DVAL.	MITTEE INVOLVED ?	on Federal Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence )  YES  NO
If YES above, provide rationale, name an  4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TRA  4a. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A  4b. IF INTERSTATE, DATE OF FHWA APPRO	ANSFER OF RW TO PER APPROVAL.  DVAL.	MITTEE INVOLVED ?	on Federal Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence )  YES  NO
4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TR.  4a. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A  4b. IF INTERSTATE, DATE OF FHWA APPRO  5. SIGNALIZATION INVOLVED?  If yes, signal warrants met	ANSFER OF R/W TO PER APPROVAL.  VAL.  YES NO	MITTEE INVOLVED ?	on Federal Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence )  YES  NO
If YES above, provide rationale, name an  4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TRA  4a. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A  4b. IF INTERSTATE, DATE OF FHWA APPRO  5. SIGNALIZATION INVOLVED ?  If yes, signal warrants met  Capacity Analysis OK	ANSFER OF RW TO PER APPROVAL.  OVAL.  YES NO* YES NO*	MITTEE INVOLVED ?	on Federal Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence )  YES  NO
If YES above, provide rationale, name an  4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TRA  4a. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A  4b. IF INTERSTATE, DATE OF FHWA APPRO  5. SIGNALIZATION INVOLVED ?  If yes, signal warrants met  Capacity Analysis OK  Safety Analysis OK	ANSFER OF R/W TO PER APPROVAL.  YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO*	MITTEE INVOLVED?	on Federal Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence )  YES  NO
4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TRA 4. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A 4b. IF INTERSTATE, DATE OF FHWA APPRO 5. SIGNALIZATION INVOLVED?  If yes, signal warrants met  Capacity Analysis OK  Safety Analysis OK  Ownership / Maintenance Provisions OK  * address Comments on an attached st	ANSFER OF R/W TO PER APPROVAL.  YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO*	MITTEE INVOLVED?	YES NO REGISTERED ENGINEER STAMP
If YES above, provide rationale, name an  4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TRA  4a. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A  4b. IF INTERSTATE, DATE OF FHWA APPRO  5. SIGNALIZATION INVOLVED?  If yes, signal warrants met  Capacity Analysis OK  Safety Analysis OK  Ownership / Maintenance Provisions OK  * address Comments on an attached st	ANSFER OF R/W TO PER APPROVAL.  OVAL.  YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO*	MITTEE INVOLVED?	President Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence )  YES NO REGISTERED ENGINEER STAMP  I attest to the technical information contained herein and the engineering data upon which recommendations, conclusions, and decisions
If YES above, provide rationale, name an  4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TRA  4a. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A  4b. IF INTERSTATE, DATE OF FHWA APPRO  5. SIGNALIZATION INVOLVED?  If yes, signal warrants met  Capacity Analysis OK  Safety Analysis OK  Ownership / Maintenance Provisions OK  * address Comments on an attached sl  PERMIT PROPOSAL RECOMMENDED  Yes, as submitted Yes, with condition	ANSFER OF R/W TO PER APPROVAL.  OVAL.  YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO*	MITTEE INVOLVED ?	YES NO REGISTERED ENGINEER STAMP
4. REVISION IN ACCESS CONTROL OR TR.  4a. IF YES, DATE OF DISTRICT DIRECTOR A  4b. IF INTERSTATE, DATE OF FHWA APPRO  5. SIGNALIZATION INVOLVED?  If yes, signal warrants met  Capacity Analysis OK  Safety Analysis OK  Ownership / Maintenance Provisions OK  * address Comments on an attached st  PERMIT PROPOSAL RECOMMENDED	ANSFER OF R/W TO PER APPROVAL.  VES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* YES NO* NO* NO*	MITTEE INVOLVED?  ONOT APPLICABLE ONOT APPLICABLE ONOT APPLICABLE NOT APPLICABLE	President Aid Projects, FHWA concurrence )  YES NO PREGISTERED ENGINEER STAMP  REGISTERED ENGINEER STAMP  I attest to the technical information contained herein and the engineering data upon which recommendations, conclusions, and decisions were based.

APPLICAN	NT .			PERMIT NO.		
DATE		·		DIST / CO / RTE /		
J/ 11L				PM		
Yo	ur comments and r garding an encroad	ecommendatio	ns are requested application.	TYPE OF WORK		
REVIEW N	EEDED BY			REVIEWING UNITS		
CHARGE	CHARGE ALL TRA	VELTIME TO THE E.A.	BELOW ON LACT		Web 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	
	937185		2002 Supv 2003 Non-Sup			
CHARGE	CHARGE ALL REV	SPECIAL DESIGNATI	. BELOW ON ACT			
	ADDITIONAL INFORM E IN PERMIT FILE	IATION [	YES NO			
	HOSE LISTED, WHO E	LSE SHOULD				
KEVIEW II	HIS APPLICATION?					
				LAST		PERMIT OF
		·	·	1	NG REVIEWED SEPARATELY BY	
REVIEW TI	ME CHARGED		RESPONSIBLE UNIT			
		HOURS		VALUATION REPORT REQUIRED		
_	COMMENDED:		NO (No actv maintenance	erse impact on highway operatio a.)	ons or	
	YES					DATE
						DATE
	NO		BY	, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	, SR.TRANSP. ENGR.	DAIE
	Need more informa		BY  VES ATTA:  uired conditions, etc.)	CHED EST, COMPLETION DATE	DATE	DAIE
	Need more informa		YES ATTA	CHED EST, COMPLETION DATE	DATE	DAIE
	Need more informa		YES ATTA	,	DATE	DAIE
	Need more informa		YES ATTA		DATE	DAIE
	Need more informa		YES ATTA		DATE	DATE